Appeal Nos. 17-7035

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

American Society for Testing and Materials; National Fire Protection Association, Inc.; American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc.,

Appellees,

Filed: 04/06/2017

V.

Public.Resource.Org, Inc.,

Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Hon. Tanya S. Chutkan, Case Nos. 1:13-cv-01215-TSC-DAR and 1:14-cv-00857-TSC-DAR (consolidated)

STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE RAISED

Andrew P. Bridges FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

Attorneys for Respondent Public.Resource.Org, Inc.,

Pursuant to the Clerk's Order of March 7, 2017, Defendant-Appellant Public.Resource.Org, Inc. submits the following preliminary statement of issues to be raised:

- 1. Did the district court err by enjoining Public.Resource.Org?
- 2. Did the district court err in holding that Plaintiff-Appellees can assert copyright in, and thus limit the ability of people to read and communicate, the text of standards that are legally binding due to incorporation into state and federal laws and regulations?
- 3. Did the district court err in holding that Public.Resource.Org's posting of standards that are legally binding due to incorporation into state and federal laws and regulations, in the course of building a publicly accessible archive of government edicts, was not a fair use?
- 4. Did the district court err in holding that Public.Resource.Org's posting of standards that are legally binding due to incorporation into state and federal laws and regulations, which contain Plaintiff-Appellees' names and logos as part of their text, constituted trademark infringement?
- 5. Did the district court err in holding that Plaintiff-Appellees own copyrights in standards that are legally binding due to incorporation into state and federal laws and regulations, despite undisputed evidence showing that Plaintiff-Appellees were not the authors of those standards?

USCA Case #17-7035 Document #1669989

Page 3 of 4

Filed: 04/06/2017

6. Did the district court err by declining to strike a purported expert report of

John Jarosz that applied no specialized knowledge, experience, or expertise, but

merely repeated the subjective opinions of Plaintiff-Appellees' employees and

officers?

7. Did the district court err by declining to strike a purported expert report of

Dr. Kurt Geisinger that applied no specialized knowledge, experience, or expertise,

but merely repeated the subjective opinions of Plaintiff-Appellees' employees and

officers, and who failed to disclose his opinions in his expert report, and submitted

unreliable conclusions?

8. Did the district court err by making evidentiary rulings against

Public.Resource.Org, and failing to sustain Public.Resource.Org's evidentiary

objections regarding Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment?

Dated: April 6, 2017

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Andrew P. Bridges

Andrew P. Bridges

Attorneys for Respondent

Public.Resource.Org, Inc.,

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Andrew P. Bridges, hereby certify that on April 6, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE RAISED with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Colombia Circuit through the Court's CM/ECF system, which will serve all Counsel who are registered CM/ECF users.

Dated: April 6, 2017 FENWICK & WEST LLP

555 California Street, 12th Floor

Filed: 04/06/2017

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

By: <u>/s/ Andrew P. Bridges</u> Andrew P. Bridges

Attorneys for Respondent Public.Resource.Org, Inc.,